

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,248	02/11/2002	Bernd Riedl	BAYER-15 P4	9631
23599	7590 04/24/2003			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			DESAI, RITA J	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1625	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

```		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/071,248	RIEDL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		RITA J. DESAI	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		— · is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower	ance except for formal matters, pr				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 23-25</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
. • , 🗀		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/071,248

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to the Amendment

The applicants have provided an abstract hence the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

A new title has also been submitted.

The rejection of claims 18 and 22 under 35 USC 112 first para has been withdrawn since applicants have amended the claims to delete the "preventing" term.

The provisional double patenting rejection over 10/042203 has also been withdrawn since applicants have explained the difference, that applicants current application requires that atleast one of X1 to X7 is a OH or 0C(O)C1-C4 alkyl.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims above do overcome the rejections, however have been considered moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims treat osteoporosis, inflammation but there is no description in the specifications regarding this part of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,248

Art Unit: 1625

The specifications only describe the various cancers as an hyper-proliferative disorder, but yet is excluding cancer.

In re Wands, 858 F. 2d 731,737,8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Ex Parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (Bd of App. 1986).

There is no description in the specifications to treat the various disease states other than the different types of cancers.

There is very little predictability in the art that that a specific drug would be able to treat cancer and also osteoporosis and inflammation!

Drugs work in a lock and key mechanism and there is no umbrella efficacy that it would treat all these various diseases.

Conclusion

The claims are not found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RITA J. DESAI whose telephone number is 703-305-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,248

Art Unit: 1625

R.D.

April 23, 2003

Page 4